



**2012 THE GLOBAL STATE
OF HARM REDUCTION**
TOWARDS AN INTEGRATED RESPONSE



**HARM REDUCTION
INTERNATIONAL**



CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	02
Introductory comments from Michel Sidibé Executive Director, UNAIDS	04
Introductory comments from Michel Kazatchkine Member of the Global Commission on Drug Policy	05
Introductory comments from Eliot Ross Albers Executive Director, INPUD	06
Introduction	07
Section 1: A Global Update	11
Section 2: Regional overviews	
2.1 Asia	25
2.2 Eurasia	39
2.3 Western Europe	53
2.4 Caribbean	65
2.5 Latin America	73
2.6 North America	83
2.7 Oceania	93
2.8 Middle East and North Africa	103
2.9 Sub-Saharan Africa	115
Section 3: Towards an integrated response	
3.1 Developing effective harm reduction services for women who inject drugs	125
3.2 Excluding youth? A global review of harm reduction services for young people	137
3.3 Drug use among men who have sex with men: implications for harm reduction	147
3.4 Drug decriminalisation policies in practice: a global summary	157
3.5 Harm reduction at the crossroads: case examples on scale and sustainability	167
3.6 Lessons from history: advocating for harm reduction in challenging environments	179

The Global State of Harm Reduction 2012

Towards an integrated response

Edited by Claudia Stoicescu

© 2012 Harm Reduction International

ISBN 978-0-9566116-6-6

This report was produced by the Public Health, Research and Policy Programme of Harm Reduction International.

Acknowledgements

This report was made possible by the collaborative input of numerous harm reduction networks, drug user organisations, research institutes and advocates. HRI would like to acknowledge the invaluable contribution of these individuals and organisations in providing information on their specific countries or regions:

Asia: Asian Harm Reduction Network; Pascal Tanguay, Population Services International, Thailand; Tasnim Azim, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh; Ravindra Rao, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, India **Caribbean:** Caribbean Harm Reduction Coalition, Saint Lucia; **Eurasia:** Umed Ibragimov, Tajikistan; Larisa Abrickaja, Harm Reduction International, UK; **Latin America:** Pablo Cymerman, Agostina Chiodi, Intercambios Association Civil, Argentina; **Middle East and North Africa:** Middle East and North Africa Harm Reduction Association (MENAHR), Lebanon; **North America:** Harm Reduction Coalition, US; Walter Cavalieri, Canadian Harm Reduction Network; Canadian Drug Policy Coalition, Canada; **Oceania:** Australian Injecting and Illicit Drug Users League, Australia; **Sub-Saharan Africa:** David Kuria Mbote, Kenya; Bronwyn Myers, Medical Research Council, South Africa **Western Europe:** European Monitoring Centre on Drug Use and Drug Addiction

Thanks also to the following people for reviewing sections of the report and for providing input and guidance:

Catherine Cook, Rick Lines, Maria Phelan, Annie Kuch, Damon Barrett, Pippa Gray, Eka Iakobishvili, Larisa Abrickaja, Susie McLean, Annette Verster, Joumana Hermez, Alexandra Ataya, Daniel Wolfe, Azzi Momenghalibaf, Roxanne Saucier, Ronny Waikhom, Elina Suzuki, Fabio Mesquita, Mukta Sharma, Tasnim Azim, Pascal Tanguay, Anna Bergenstrom, Dato Otiashvili, Alisher Latypov, Dasha Ocheret, Sergey Votyagov, Gerry Stimson, Gabriel Moshenska, Ann Fordham, André Noor, Dagmar Hedrich, Joanne Csete, Bronwyn Myers, Donald Macpherson, Connie Carter, Jamie Bridge, Steve Rolles, Gino Vumbaca, Eliot Albers.

Thanks finally to HRI's Scientific Review Committee for providing feedback on sections of the report.

Section 1 was written by Claudia Stoicescu

Section 2 was compiled by Claudia Stoicescu, Lucy Cullen, Elona Toska, Catherine Cook and Larisa Abrickaja

In Section 3, authors, contributors and reviewers are acknowledged at the beginning of each chapter.

Designed by Mark Joyce

Copy-edited by The Write Effect, Oxford

Published by

Harm Reduction International

Unit 2D12 South Bank Technopark

90 London Road London

United Kingdom SE1 6LN

E-mail: info@ihra.net

Website: www.ihra.net



Abbreviations and acronyms

AHRN	Asian Harm Reduction Network	MENA	Middle East and North Africa
AIVL	Australian Injecting and Illicit Drug Users' League	MENAHRA	Middle East and North African Harm Reduction Network
AIDS	Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome	MDT	Mandatory drug testing
ANPUD	Asian Network of People who use Drugs	MMT	Methadone maintenance treatment
ART	Antiretroviral therapy	MSM	Men who have sex with men
ATS	Amphetamine-type stimulants	NASA	National AIDS Spending assessment
BMT	Buprenorphine maintenance treatment	NGO	Non-governmental organisation
CARICOM	Caribbean Community	NIDU	Non-injecting drug use
CHRC	Caribbean Harm Reduction Coalition	NSP	Needle and syringe exchange programme
CND	Commission on Narcotic Drugs	OST	Opioid substitution therapy
CPR	Cardiopulmonary resuscitation	PAHO	Pan American Health Organization (WHO)
CPT	Co-trimoxazole preventive treatment	PEPFAR	President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief
CSO	Civil society organisation	PICTs	Pacific Island Countries and Territories
DCR	Drug consumption room	PNEP	Prison needle and syringe exchange programme
DFID	Department for International Development (UK)	SAHRN	Sub-Saharan African Harm Reduction Network
DOTS	Directly Observed Treatment Short-Course	SAMHSA	US Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration
ECOSOC	Economic and Social Council (UN)	SIF	Supervised or safer injecting facility
EMCDDA	European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction	STI	Sexually transmitted infection
EMRO WHO	Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office	SPC	Secretariat of the Pacific Community
EC	European Commission	TB	Tuberculosis
EU	European Union	UAE	United Arab Emirates
EuroHRN	European Harm Reduction Network	UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
GDP	Gross Domestic Product	UN	United Nations
GFATM	Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria	UNAIDS	Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
GP	General practitioner	UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
GTZ	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit	UNESCO	United Nations Economic, Social and Cultural Organization
HAT	Heroin assisted treatment	UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund
HBV	Hepatitis B virus	UNGASS	United Nations General Assembly Special Session
HBsAG	Marker in the blood indicating active HBV infection	UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
HCV	Hepatitis C virus	UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus	MENARO UNODC	Middle East and North Africa Regional Office
HLS	High Level Segment	US	United States of America
IDU	Injecting drug use	VCT	Voluntary HIV counselling and testing
IHRA	International Harm Reduction Association	WFP	World Food Programme (UN)
INCB	International Narcotics Control Board	WHO	World Health Organization
INPUD	International Network of People who Use Drugs		

Introductory comments from Michel Sidibé

Executive Director, UNAIDS

The third edition of the Global State of Harm Reduction report comes at a pivotal time in the HIV epidemic.

Thirty per cent of HIV infections outside sub-Saharan Africa, representing approximately 3 million people, are attributed to injecting drug use. New infections among people who use drugs account for an increasing share of global HIV incidence. In Eastern Europe and Central Asia, injecting drug use accounts for up to 80% of HIV infections, with the annual rate of new HIV infections in the region having increased by more than 250% between 2001 and 2010.^a In several countries in sub-Saharan Africa including Kenya, Tanzania and South Africa a new wave of infections due to drug injecting has emerged in recent years.

This reality serves as an urgent reminder of the commitment made by all United Nations Member States in the 2011 Political Declaration on HIV/AIDS to reduce transmission of HIV among people who inject drugs by 50% by 2015. Achieving this target demands a cohesive response to HIV from UN agencies, states, civil society and affected communities alike based on the strongest available public health evidence and human rights principles.

UNAIDS is unequivocal in its message to Member States about what works to reduce HIV transmission among people who inject drugs. The evidence is clear and decisive: sufficient provision and coverage of needle and syringe programmes, opioid substitution therapy and antiretroviral therapy as part of the nine key interventions outlined in the WHO, UNODC, UNAIDS technical guide work to effectively reduce HIV transmission among people who inject drugs, as well as providing other measurable benefits to individuals' health and their communities.

Despite the existence of these evidence-based and cost-effective harm reduction interventions, their coverage remains shockingly low. As this report highlights, fewer than two clean needles per month are distributed globally per person who injects drugs, under 13% of people who use drugs are enrolled in opioid substitution therapy, and only 4% of people who inject drugs living with HIV are on antiretroviral treatment.^b

Most alarming is that a significant number of countries with reported injecting drug use continue to restrict access to these services. Punitive laws and policies, whether via prohibiting the provision of sterile injecting equipment and opioid substitution therapy, criminalising drug use,

possession of injecting paraphernalia, or denying HIV treatment to people who use drugs, violate people's right to health and harm the community. Such punitive policies not only fail to reduce HIV transmission but create unintended harms – for instance, by driving people who inject drugs away from prevention and care and resulting in prison overcrowding. Responses to HIV should transcend ideology and be based on scientific evidence and sound human rights principles; they should support, not punish, those affected.

UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon stated that “No one should be stigmatised or discriminated against because of their dependence on drugs” and called on UN Member States to ensure that people who use drugs have equal access to health and social services. An important function of UNAIDS is to highlight the adverse human rights and public health impacts of restrictive laws and policies, and “to create protective social and legal environment that enable access to HIV programmes.”^c Further, in its 2011–2015 Strategy, *Getting to Zero*, UNAIDS is explicit about reducing by half the number of “countries with punitive laws and practices around HIV transmission, drug use or homosexuality that block effective responses”.

The need for legal reform aligned with HIV prevention and treatment, complemented by the meaningful involvement of people who use drugs in service and policy formulation and implementation, has never been more imperative than it is now for achieving the goal of universal access.

On behalf of the UNAIDS Secretariat and our co-sponsors, I am proud to say that UNAIDS is committed to playing the leading role in a coordinated, unambiguous and bold UN response to HIV among people who inject drugs. In an increasingly hostile policy climate, we must replace dangerous complacency with decisive action when it comes to HIV-related harm reduction. Without firm global leadership, evidence and human rights-based national policies, bold resource replenishment for harm reduction and urgent scale-up of harm reduction interventions, there will be no “getting to zero”.

The original Global State of Harm Reduction report, published in 2008, provided the first global snapshot of harm reduction service availability and coverage, reflecting the contributions of civil society organisations, multilateral agencies and researchers in the drug-related HIV response. Since then, the biennial reports have become an indispensable reference tool and authoritative resource for a wide range of agencies and individuals engaging in advocacy for harm reduction worldwide. The latest edition

a UNAIDS (2010) *Global Report. UNAIDS Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic*. Geneva: UNAIDS.

b Mathers BM et al. for the UN Reference Group on IDU (2010) The global epidemiology of injecting drug use and HIV among people who inject drugs: A systematic review, *Lancet*, 372 (9651): 1733–1745.

c UNAIDS (2010) *Strategy 2011–2015: Getting to Zero*. Geneva: UNAIDS

of the report includes important data on viral hepatitis, and a timely focus on intersections between drug use, HIV and harm reduction services among other key affected populations, including women, children and men who have sex with men. These sub-populations of people who inject drugs are often the most marginalised in the global AIDS response, requiring immediate services and a proportionate allocation of HIV prevention resources. The promotion of harm reduction as part of a bolder, more united and more

comprehensive global effort will be essential to halving HIV infections among people who inject drugs by 2015.



Michel Sidibé
Executive Director, UNAIDS

Introductory comments from Michel Kazatchkine

Member of the Global Commission on Drug Policy

People who inject drugs remain a key population in global health, accounting for around 3 million HIV infections and 10 million hepatitis C infections. This is in addition to the numerous financial, social and public health burdens associated with overdose and drug dependence. But if you are reading this report, you probably know this all too well.

However, these issues – and the proven harm reduction interventions that can address them – are more important now than ever before. In a global economic downturn the burden of drug use is likely to increase, while the finances to deal with these problems become ever more limited. In 2011, United Nations Member States committed to reducing HIV transmission among people who inject drugs by 50% in the next four years, and yet we now face a major crossroads in the response. It is essential that people who use drugs are not forgotten or overlooked.

The Global State of Harm Reduction reports have fast become an integral tool in the ongoing advocacy for people who inject drugs. These biennial documents are helping us to track the progress that has been made. Grassroots projects to protect people who inject drugs in the 1980s have been developed, scaled up and integrated into mainstream healthcare in many diverse countries around the world. The evidence base has also grown, allowing harm reduction to become standard jargon for the key international bodies: including the United Nations General Assembly, the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, the World Health Organization, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.

I will always be proud to say that the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria explicitly supports harm reduction and is the leading international donor for this approach.^d The Global Fund faces its own challenges in the current financial climate but remains committed to funding essential services including those for people who inject drugs. This report is a

timely reminder of the urgent need for continued and reliable financing for harm reduction.

This report also highlights huge anomalies in the international response. In 2009, at the International Harm Reduction Conference in Bangkok, I stated that some countries “seem determined to swim against the tide with their wilful blindness to the evidence”. This remains the case. For example, there are 120 countries that report HIV transmission among people who inject drugs, yet only 86 countries implement official needle and syringe programmes to some degree in order to prevent this transmission. In a majority of settings, coverage of such programmes is far below the level needed to have an impact. Too often we have seen inexpensive and cost-effective harm reduction approaches being overlooked, overshadowed or undermined by expensive and often ineffectual approaches with a ‘war on drugs’ rhetoric. The compulsory detention, forced treatment, execution, torture and corporal punishment of people who use drugs simply have to stop. They are violations of human rights and international law.

This is the third edition of this flagship publication, which provides the latest data on harm reduction, expanded regional updates and key thematic chapters. I would like to thank Harm Reduction International for giving me the opportunity to provide these introductory comments, and I wish you all the best in using this valuable resource to promote harm reduction in your own settings.



Professor Michel Kazatchkine
Former Executive Director of the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria
Member of the Global Commission on Drug Policy

^d Bridge J et al. (2012) Global Fund Investments in Harm Reduction from 2002 to 2009, *International Journal of Drug Policy* (23): 279–285.

Introductory comments by Eliot Ross Albers

Executive Director, International Network of People who Use Drugs (INPUD)

On behalf of the International Network of People Who Use Drugs (INPUD), I welcome this third edition of the Global State of Harm Reduction, and thank Harm Reduction International for giving me the chance to add these opening remarks to what has become an essential tool used by INPUD and our members in their advocacy for the provision of essential harm reduction services for our community.

The evidence base for the efficacy of harm reduction programmes is irrefutable and widely supported by international agencies including UNAIDS, the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

Despite the overwhelming evidence in favour of harm reduction programmes, this report shows that there remains a significant discrepancy between what we know should be provided^e and what actually is.

The publication of this report is especially timely, as not only are we in the grip of a global recession, but we are also seeing a political retreat from harm reduction on the domestic front by several states that have historically been strong supporters (e.g. the Netherlands and the United Kingdom); on the other hand, their international support for harm reduction remains strong. In many countries in Eastern Europe where the HIV and viral hepatitis epidemics are especially acute among people who inject drugs and largely driven by the sharing of syringes, harm reduction is scorned. For example, Russia, which has a population of 2 million injecting opiate users, of whom 37.2% are estimated to be living with HIV (in some regions prevalence reaches up to 75%),^f refuses to provide needle and syringe exchange and prohibits the provision of methadone. The USA has also reinstated its ban on spending federal funds on needle and syringe programmes. This is a highly retrogressive step, as it applies not just to the USA but to all programmes, no matter where they are based, that receive federal funds.

Far from being provided with the services that we need, people who inject drugs remain criminalised, marginalised, repressed and discriminated against. We face human rights abuses including torture and corporal punishment, execution, arbitrary violence and abuse, compulsory detention and forced treatment in facilities that provide no medical services but that do subject their inmates to forced labour and often cruel and inhuman treatment.^{g,h} In spite of a recent call for their immediate closure sponsored by 12 UN bodies,ⁱ these facilities remain open and are often applauded, or simply ignored, by the guardians of the international system of punitive prohibition.

The Global State of Harm Reduction 2012 shows that where progress has taken place, it has often been at an insufficiently low level to have an impact on viral hepatitis and HIV epidemics among people who inject drugs, and the new programmes that have been implemented are generally small-scale pilots. The universal provision of harm reduction services is just the first step in righting the systematic human rights abuses to which people who use drugs are subjected. INPUD will continue to advocate and organise to make the voices of the illegal-drug-using community heard.

The Global State of Harm Reduction is an invaluable tool in INPUD's advocacy work and a strident wake-up call to anyone who believes that the work of harm reduction is done. We have known for more than 20 years what measures need to be taken to prevent HIV transmission among people who inject drugs, but we are facing a barrier of intransigent ignorance, prejudice and a refusal on the part of many governments around the world to accept the science. This is unacceptable and should be called what it is – wilful neglect and a breach of basic human rights, not least of all, the inalienable right to the highest standard of health to which all people, whether they use illegal drugs or not, are entitled.



Eliot Ross Albers
Executive Director, INPUD

e WHO, UNODC, UNAIDS (2009) *Technical Guide for Countries to Set Targets for Universal Access to HIV Prevention, Treatment and Care for Injecting Drug Users*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

f Federal Service on Customers' Rights and Human Well-being Surveillance of the Russian Federation (2010) *Country Progress Report on the progress of implementing the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS adopted at the 26th United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS. Reporting period: January 2008 – December 2009*. Moscow: Federal Service on Customers' Rights and Human Well-being Surveillance of the Russian Federation.

g Stevens A (2012) The ethics and effectiveness of coerced treatment of people who use drugs, *Human Rights and Drugs*, 2(1) 7–16.

h Hall W et al. (2012) Compulsory detention, forced detoxification and enforced labour are not ethically acceptable or effective ways to treat addiction, *Addiction*, pp. 1–3. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03888.x, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03888.x/pdf> Accessed 9 July 2012.

i United Nations (2012) Joint Statement: Compulsory drug detention and rehabilitation centres. New York: UN, http://www.unaids.org/en/media/unaids/contentassets/documents/document/2012/JC2310_Joint%20Statement6March12FINAL_en.pdf Accessed 20 May 2012.

Introduction

About the Global State of Harm Reduction 2012

In 2008 Harm Reduction International (HRI) released the *Global State of Harm Reduction*, a report that mapped responses to drug-related HIV and hepatitis C epidemics around the world for the first time.^j The information gathered for the report provided a critical baseline against which progress could be measured in terms of the international, regional and national recognition of harm reduction in policy and practice. The second edition, *Global State of Harm Reduction 2010: Key Issues for Broadening the Response*, documented major developments in harm reduction policy adoption and programme implementation that had occurred since 2008, enabling some assessment of global progress. It also explored several key issues for harm reduction, such as the response to amphetamine-related harms; harm reduction in prisons; the reduction of various drug-related health harms including bacterial infections, tuberculosis, viral hepatitis and overdose; and the extent to which financial resources for harm reduction are available.^k

The *Global State of Harm Reduction 2012* presents the major developments in harm reduction policy adoption and programme implementation that have occurred since 2010. It also explores several major topics for developing an integrated harm reduction response, such as effective harm reduction services for women who inject drugs; access to harm reduction services by young people; drug use among men who have sex with men and implications for harm reduction; global progress toward building an enabling policy environment for harm reduction implementation through drug decriminalisation and regulation; case studies on sustainability and scale-up of services; and promotion of harm reduction approaches in challenging environments.

This report, and other *Global State of Harm Reduction* resources^l are designed to provide advocacy and reference tools for a wide range of audiences, such as international donor organisations, multilateral and bilateral agencies, civil society and non-governmental organisations (NGOs), including organisations of people who use drugs, as well as researchers and the media.

Methodology

The information in Sections 1 and 2 of this report was gathered using existing data sources, including research papers and reports from multilateral agencies, international NGOs, civil society and harm reduction networks, as well as expert opinion from organisations of people who use drugs and those working in the harm reduction field. Within each region, HRI enlisted support from regional harm reduction networks and researchers to gather qualitative information on key developments^m and to review population size estimates, data on the epidemiology of HIV and viral hepatitis among people who inject drugs, and the extent of provision of needle and syringe exchange programmes (NSPs) and opioid substitution therapy (OST).

Quantitative data for the tables at the beginning of each regional update in Section 2 were obtained from a variety of sources. These data seek to reflect the most recent available estimates within each country at the time of the data collection exercise (January–April 2012). Sources used include global systematic reviews conducted by the Reference Group to the United Nations on HIV/ AIDS and Injecting Drug Use (UN Reference Group) on the epidemiology of injecting drug use and HIV and the coverage of key harm reduction interventions in 2008ⁿ and 2010,^o updated reports since then by the UN Reference Group (including forthcoming articles),^p national Global AIDS Progress reports submitted to UNAIDS in March 2012 and national surveillance studies conducted since 2010.^q Where none of these sources were available, the data were unpublished or their reliability was questioned by civil society, researchers or other experts, expert opinion was sought to identify additional sources of information and verify their reliability. Unless HRI was able to identify newer data, prevalence estimates for viral hepatitis were sourced from the review of reviews published by Nelson and colleagues in the *Lancet* in 2011. Data for Western Europe and several countries in Eastern Europe were sourced from the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) 2012 statistical bulletin, unless otherwise stated in the text.^r Sources are provided for all of the estimates reported, and any discrepancies in data are noted in footnotes within the tables or in the text.

^m A copy of the information collection questionnaire for the *Global State of Harm Reduction 2012* can be obtained by contacting info@ihra.net.

ⁿ Mathers B et al. (2008). The global epidemiology of injecting drug use and HIV among people who inject drugs: A systematic review, *Lancet*, 372 (9651): 1733–1745.

^o Mathers B et al. (2010). HIV prevention, treatment, and care services for people who inject drugs: A systematic review of global, regional, and national coverage, *Lancet*, 375, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60232-2.

^p Petersen Z, Pluddemann A, van Hout MC, Dada S, Parry C & Myers B on behalf of the Secretariat to the United Nations Reference Group on Injecting Drug Use and HIV (2012) *The prevalence of HIV among people who inject drugs and availability of prevention and treatment services: findings from 21 countries. A brief report*. Parow: South African Medical Research Council.

^q Nelson PK, Mathers BM, Cowie B, Hagan H, Des Jarlais D, Horyniak D & Degenhardt L (2011) Global epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C in people who inject drugs: results of systematic reviews, *Lancet*, 378(9791): 571–583.

^r See <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/stats12> for more details.

^j Cook C and Kanaef N (2008) *Global State of Harm Reduction 2008: Mapping the Response to Drug-Related HIV and Hepatitis C Epidemics*. London: Harm Reduction International.

^k Cook C (2010) *The Global State of Harm Reduction: Key Issues for Broadening the Response*. London: International Harm Reduction Association.

^l See www.ihra.net for more details.

Figures published through international reporting systems, such as those undertaken by the World Health Organization and UNAIDS, may differ from those collated here due to the different scope of monitoring surveys, varying reliability criteria and focus on regions that may include different country classifications.

Regions were largely identified using the coverage of the regional harm reduction networks. Therefore, this report examines the regions of Oceania, Asia, Eurasia (Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia), Western Europe, Sub-Saharan Africa, Middle East and North Africa, Latin America, the Caribbean and North America.

Where possible, the regional updates were peer reviewed by the regional harm reduction networks and other experts in the field (see Acknowledgements).

This report also contains chapters on major topics for the harm reduction response, which were identified through feedback on the second report and consultation with HRI's Scientific Review Committee and key partners of the organisation. These chapters have been prepared by representatives from civil society, research and multilateral agencies with specific expertise in the area, and reviewed by peers in the field. Although some of the issues covered are fairly new areas with relatively little research to report on, these chapters aim to present what is currently known and raise issues for the international harm reduction community to consider.

Data quality

For global population size estimates of people who inject drugs and HIV epidemiology, this report draws heavily on global systematic reviews conducted by the UN Reference Group. These reviews present only data that fits with reliability criteria established by the UN Reference Group, resulting in data gaps for many countries with HIV epidemics among people who inject drugs.

Given that this remains the most reliable assessment of the state of the epidemic, HRI has presented the UN Reference Group data where these data were the most recent available estimates, and provided data from other sources for those countries and territories for which other reliable sources were available. These included bio-behavioural surveillance reports, academic studies and, for information on the most recent number of NSP and OST sites, expert opinion was also consulted. The data collection process involved regional harm reduction networks and other regional experts reviewing the regional data gathered, including the figures reported in the tables. The data tables were additionally shared for review with researchers and members of the UN Reference Group from around the world. Where the accuracy of data was questioned but no alternate, reliable figures were provided, this is noted in footnotes or within the text.

Although population size estimates for people who inject drugs have become available at the national level for several countries since 2008 (for instance, through UNAIDS Global AIDS Progress reports), a systematic calculation of global population size estimates was not conducted in the context of this report.

The significant data gaps are an important reminder of the need for improved monitoring systems and data reporting on HIV and drug use around the world.

In reporting on the existence and coverage of harm reduction, this report sought input from harm reduction networks, researchers and other experts in the field. Where no updates were available, 2010 data was reported.

The data presented here on epidemiology and coverage represent the most recent, verifiable estimates currently available; however, lack of uniformity in measures, data collection methodologies and definitions for the estimates provided renders cross-national and regional comparisons challenging.

Limitations

This report attempts to provide a global snapshot of harm reduction policies and programmes and, as such, has several limitations. It does not provide an extensive evaluation of the services or policies in place. It must be recognised that the existence of a service does not necessarily denote adequate quality and coverage to have an impact on drug-related harms.

While this report aims to cover some important areas for harm reduction, it focuses largely on the public health aspects of the response and does not document the full spectrum of social and legal harms faced by people who use drugs. Neither does it cover the full spectrum of health harms related to substance use, including, for example, those related to alcohol and tobacco use.

A significant gap in the current edition of the report is the omission of a thematic focus on the intersection between sex work and drug use. HRI is presently in the process of developing a separate publication and web resources addressing drug use among sex workers and broader implications for harm reduction.

Report structure

Section 1 provides a global overview of harm reduction policy and programming.

Section 2 contains nine brief regional updates – Asia, Eurasia, Western Europe, the Caribbean, Latin America, North America, Oceania, Middle East and North Africa, and Sub-Saharan Africa – that examine the developments for harm reduction since 2010.

Section 3 comprises six chapters that explore themes relevant to developing an integrated harm reduction response, including specific barriers to service access faced by women and young people who inject drugs, drug use among men who have sex with men and implications for harm reduction, a global overview of drug decriminalisation policies around the world, and an exploration of sustaining and scaling up services in challenging social and political environments.